IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

JUANETTE ROBERTS

PLAINTIFF

 \mathbf{v} .

No. 5:13-cv-305-DPM

CRESTPARK STUTTGART, LLC; STUTTGART VISION CLINIC; GEORGE M. DUNN, JR., ODPA; CHERYL CONNALLY; and MISTY COX

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Roberts's motion for reconsideration, N_{2} 61, is denied. Her points about retaliation and constructive discharge are re-argument; the Court has already addressed them. On discrimination, the Court doesn't see a hearsay problem. What Roberts said S.C. said would be hearsay, FED. R. EVID. 801(c), which cannot be considered. The Court noted and considered Oliver's affidavit. N_{2} 59 at 6. The Court relied on Cox's conclusion after her investigation of S.C.'s conduct, not on the resident's exact words. The Court stands by its decision that S.C. is not a valid comparator and that there is no jury issue on pretext.

So Ordered.

D.P. Marshall Jr.

United States District Judge

16 grave 2015